

The Right to Keep and Bear Arms

The Biggest Dangers from a Biden Presidency

The Biden-Harris ticket could spell the end of the Second Amendment if elected.

United States – -(AmmoLand.com)- While there are still recounts and likely litigation to take place in several close states, there is a very real possibility that Joe Biden will get the necessary 270 electoral votes to take the Oval Office. With two Senate races in Georgia going to January runoffs, there is a chance for a pro-Second Amendment majority, but even then, there will be threats to our rights.

Judicial Nominations

Biden will work to nominate anti-Second Amendment extremists to the federal bench, which means that at the Supreme Court, we will likely see 6-3 in favor of the Second Amendment as the best case option (pray for the health of Justices Clarence Thomas and Samuel Alito). Then of course, should the Senate fall, there is the very real threat of packing the Supreme Court with anti-Second Amendment extremists. The threat to the landmark Heller and McDonald cases is very real, and it is very imminent.

A Weaponized IRS Attacks Pro-Second Amendment Groups

You think it was just about Trump, right? Wrong. A Biden Administration means that anti-Second Amendment extremists will be seeking to silence their opposition. Remember, one of Elizabeth Warren's campaign promises was to sic the IRS on the National Rifle Association. Don't think that other pro-Second Amendment groups will be safe, either. Whether you prefer the Second Amendment Foundation, Gun Owners of America, or the Firearms Policy Coalition, a Biden Administration will sic the IRS on them, too.

ATF Regulations

That AR-15 pistol with a brace? That just became a short-barreled rifle, subject to the National Firearms Act. That will likely just be the first of many regulations. They won't just be to put some firearms out of meaningful reach, though. You can bet other regulations will be used to drive up the cost for FFLs to do business, and still others will be aimed at making it harder to exercise our Second Amendment rights.

Environmental Regulations

Hunters and other participants in the shooting sports have long been among those who most want to protect this country's natural beauty and help wildlife. But they will actually be among those facing the worst in the name of the environment. The biggie? Forget the traditional ammo. That will be targeted. In addition, you can bet that the Biden Administration's ideas for public lands do not involve access to them for hunters and others who take part in the shooting sports. And of course, the less access to hunting, the less hunters there are, and fewer people who (in the mind of Biden and others) have a justifiable "need" to own guns.

The Bully Pulpit

One of the big risks with Joe Biden as President is that he often “sells” his push for infringements on our Second Amendment rights with a somewhat effective outreach to hunters and others. This will be magnified by a media that will turn the Briefing Room into a steno pool. You can bet we will find our efforts to protect ourselves from being punished for crimes and acts of madness that we didn’t commit will have us be characterized as accessories before the fact to the next mass shooting. Worse, this bully pulpit will be used to coordinate corporate gun control, which is far more resistant to grassroots pressure.

Control of the Justice Department

Under President Trump, Second Amendment supporters and pro-Second Amendment groups had an ally in the upcoming legal battles, like the Duncan case, which could head to the Supreme Court. Should Biden hold on, now the Justice Department will be arguing in favor of anti-Second Amendment laws. While this may not make a big difference with the current court, what happens if the court is packed?

The fact is a Biden presidency is very dangerous for the Second Amendment. Second Amendment supporters will need to be ready for a very desperate fight for the next two to four years, and we will not come out unscathed. Ammoland Inc. Posted on November 9, 2020 by Harold Hutchison <https://www.ammoland.com/2020/11/the-biggest-dangers-from-a-biden-presidency/#ixzz6dcAl7j6y>

BIDEN’S ODIOSUS REMARKS TO CURTAIL SECOND AMENDMENT INVITE QUESTION OVER HIS ELECTABILITY AND SUITABILITY FOR HIGHEST OFFICE

JOE BIDEN WANTS YOU TO KNOW HE SUPPORTS THE SECOND AMENDMENT; IT’S JUST THAT HE DOESN’T SUPPORT YOUR OWNING AND POSSESSING FIREARMS

PART ONE (in part)

Can anyone talk to Mr. Joe Biden? Here is a man who tends to yackety-yack a streak even when he has nothing to say—which is all the time, or nearly all the time. You would think a person running for President of the United States would have something intelligent to say, and that he would be willing, able, and capable of orating intelligently, eloquently, and solicitously to the American public. That, though, isn’t Joe Biden.

Consider Biden’s policy prescriptions for dealing with American’s fundamental, immutable, unalienable natural right as codified in the Second Amendment of the Nation’s Bill of Rights; a right bestowed on man by a Loving Divine Creator; natural law, not man-made law; God-given law, not Congressional enacted law; natural law upon which a free Constitutional Republic rests; upon which the personal autonomy of Americans depend; upon which the sanctity and inviolability of the individual is grounded, and upon which the sovereignty, supremacy of the American people over a centralized Government and over the crushing power of the State, is maintained—the right of the people to keep and bear arms; a right that shall not be infringed.

And, yet there are those, both inside the Federal Government and outside it, who are all too happy to infringe this hallowed right, this sanctified law, even as they say they would not; that they never would infringe it.

With Joe Biden in the Oval Office, the Globalists will then be able to move ahead once again with their agenda, and agenda that President Trump had cast aside, as he would not do their bidding. They will not abide further interruption of their goals: dismantling a free Republic, overriding the Nation's Bill of Rights, overthrowing the sovereignty of the American people, subjugating the masses; subordinating the citizenry to their new dictates; and bending the citizenry to their will, that the U.S. might eventually be integrated into a one world political, social, cultural, and economic governmental scheme.

TO COMPROMISE THE RIGHT OF THE PEOPLE TO KEEP AND BEAR ARMS IS TO ENDANGER THE EXERCISE OF IT

PART TWO (in part)

Biden, like all scheming Anti-Constitutionalist Anti-Second Amendment Neoliberal Transnationalist Globalist Collectivist Establishment politicians, is an obsequious, compliant stooge of the secretive Billionaire Globalist Corporatists. He duplicitously and hypocritically claims to support the Second Amendment, when he does not. He suggests that compelling Americans to compromise the exercise of their fundamental, primordial, immutable, unalienable right—such as forcing Americans to surrender their semiautomatic firearms, and confining ammunition magazine capacity—is fully consistent with his claim to support preservation of the elemental right of the people to keep and bear arms. Does he really expect the American public to believe him? To accept Biden's incoherent nonsense is the height of absurdity. Does Biden hold the American citizenry in such low regard that he expects the citizenry to accept his deceitful lies as self-evident truths. Who is Biden really fooling, here?

The word, 'compromise,' has two alternate, incompatible meanings. It can connote: a 'settlement of differences by arbitration or by consent reached by mutual concessions; namely 'something intermediate between or blending qualities of two different things;' 'an agreement between two sides who have different opinions, in which each side gives up something it had wanted.' But, the word, can also connote, 'a concession to something derogatory or prejudicial a compromise of one's principles;' namely, 'to expose or make vulnerable to danger, suspicion, scandal, etc.; jeopardize: e.g., a military oversight that compromised the nation's defenses.'

Asserting one's support for the Second Amendment by simultaneously demanding concessions from those who wish to exercise the right is an odd way of asserting one's devotion to a fundamental, unalienable, immutable and natural right.

It is clear how Biden and, by extension, how all Radical Left and New Progressive Left Democrats use the word, 'compromise,' apropos of the Second Amendment. They

suggest, deceitfully to the public, that they mean ‘negotiate’ “commonsense” restrictions on the exercise of the natural right. But, what they really mean, if only tacitly, is to eventually ‘prohibit’ exercise of the natural right of the people to keep and bear arms.

As reported by the Washington Examiner, on August 10, 2020:

“Joe Biden is calling for a cultural shift around how the country thinks about gun ownership.”

‘The Second Amendment — no amendment is in fact absolute,’ Biden told the Everytown for Gun Safety Action Fund’s Presidential Gun Sense Forum Saturday in Des Moines, Iowa.

‘Folks, don’t apologize at all about the Second Amendment,’ Biden advised, explaining how the amendment allows for limitations on who can own a weapon and what type. ***‘These guys will tell you, the tree of liberty is watered with the blood of patriots. Give me a break.’***

‘Can you go out and buy a flamethrower? Can you go out and buy an F-15?’ he added. ***“If you want to protect yourself against the federal government, you’re going to need at least an F-15.”***

It defies belief that anyone, let alone a U.S. Presidential candidate, can, through his remarks, fall prey to such an abundance of fallacies—“red herrings,” “false analogies,” and “overgeneralizations,” to name but a few—or that a Presidential candidate could be so excruciatingly incoherent.

Biden’s remarks amount to a mouthful of bland, flat, bald-faced lies and gross exaggerations, assuming one can decipher his remarks at all, as so many incoherent declarations emanate from him. Mostly, his remarks devolve into a series of rambling incoherent musings, inconsistencies, and mind-numbing disconcerting schizophasia—meaningless blather—all but impossible to unscramble, and apt to cause a migraine headache for anyone who tries.

The sad and tragic thing is that Biden expects to be taken seriously. If he were a stand-up comic, it would be amusing to listen to him, all the more so since he tends to come across as patronizing—a nice touch were that a part of his comic skit. Biden must think that the failure of the public to understand him is due to the inability of most people to fully appreciate the intricacies of his genius; his mind a steel-trap; impossible for those of lesser intellect to fathom.

The Washington Examiner had this to say about Biden, back in 2019:

“Joe Biden, the 2020 Democratic front-runner crowd, left some in the crowd at the Iowa State Fair mystified when he told them: ‘We choose truth over facts.’”

Does Biden know what he is talking about? I don’t, and I would assume you don’t. And my guess is that Biden doesn’t know what he is talking about either.

The question of Biden's mental acumen, for Americans, were he to become U.S. President, is no small matter. It is material and profound: Is Joe Biden a genius in disguise or an idiot? If the former, we should take notice. He may be a Godsend; or the Devil incarnate, heralding the End of Days, not only for Americans but for all of humanity. And, if he is a dolt, then the prospects for our Nation, our Constitution, and our people is no less horrifying, if he were to become U.S. President. In either event, evil genius or dullard, it says something, not particularly pleasant, about the Democrat Party that they would thrust Biden on all of us; that this is the Party's best prospect for our Country; that he is the Great Hope they are banking on to defeat their nemesis, Donald Trump. But be not mistaken: Such horrors await us beyond imagining if Biden ekes out a win in 2020 and takes possession of the Oval Office. We will all be catapulted head over heels, into a Hellish realm. The Hellraiser Horror film franchise comes to mind.

Now, when Biden says that, "*We choose truth over facts,*" it may be Biden is enunciating or, at least, intimating a new, dramatic theory of truth. If there is anything to it, the impact on our understanding of logic, mathematics, epistemology and of any of the hard sciences—indeed, on the very notion of reality and 'real things,' impacting ontology, metaphysics, and information science—must be drastically revised; and Biden ought to be lecturing at M.I.T. or Cal Tech, and not wasting his talents on the campaign trail, yapping it up in front of we, the mere Hoi Polloi.

The problem for Americans is that Biden, the presumptive U.S. Presidential nominee of the Democrat Party, can feasibly become U.S. President. That fact makes Biden less amusing, and more frightening. Among those who are markedly incompetent to serve as U.S. President, we find Joe Biden. But, if he were at least affable, we could laugh at this clown, rather than frown.

Americans know when politicians come across as crass, insulting, and derisive. A person cannot effectively serve as the leader of the Nation if that person treats the general public as contemptible lowborn plebeians.

You would think a politician would be perspicacious enough to refrain from lecturing the public about matters that a politician knows little if anything about; let alone legislate over it; but a politician doesn't refrain from lecturing the public or from legislating in ways catastrophic to the well-being of the Nation.

Politicians, especially those on the Radical Left and new Progressive Left of the political spectrum, proceed along their merry way, seemingly having not a care in the world, either oblivious to the fact, or, perhaps, all too mindful of it, that the actions they take as legislators, compromising the citizenry's most sacred rights and liberties, diminishes them as Americans, undermines the Constitution, and creates a hell for the rest of us to live in.

Yet, there is no compromise (in the sense of negotiation), on a fundamental, immutable, unalienable, natural right; nor can there be compromise. The word 'compromise,' here, denotes outright capitulation. That, of course, is what the Democrats want, and the

Detroit autoworker, Jerry Wayne, was doing the public a favor in bringing to light the irrationality of Biden's remarks on the Second Amendment. Biden obviously wasn't able to respond effectively to the irrationality of his own position. How could he? His position is self-contradictory.

One cannot claim rationally to support the Second Amendment, when one wishes to take steps to erase it. Biden may have realized the inconsistency inherent in his position on the Second Amendment when Jerry Wayne, the Detroit autoworker, had pointedly and demonstrably presented the inconsistency out to him. But, instead of admitting this, or otherwise doing what politicians are generally good at—disengaging and talking about something else when they do not wish to answer a question directly or cannot answer a pointed question directed to them—Biden lashed out, like a petulant child. This is a common failing of the Radical Left and Progressive Left elements of our society. They do not wish to debate; they would rather shout a person down. That is much easier for them.

Sincerity was never Biden's long suit; nor is controlling his anger. Biden's obvious disinclination to engage the public, despite his efforts to demonstrate the contrary, comes across plainly and painfully. His rancor and deceit, irascibility and dissembling, along with an inability or lack of desire to even try to control his temper should not be lost on anyone.

<http://arbalestquarrel.com/bidens-odious-remarks-to-curtailed-second-amendment-invite-question-over-his-electability-and-suitability-for-highest-office/>

**Richard Stouder
oakridger48@msn.com**